Balancing Resource Use and Conservation

Balancing the use of the Colorado River water resources with the conservation of native species and their habitats through partnerships, planning and adaptive management



Carolyn Ronning, Wildlife Group Manager Bureau of Reclamation Boulder City, Nevada

Balancing Resource Use and Conservation

COLORADO RIVER WATERSHED

- Length: approx. 1,400 miles (LCR is the last 400 miles in the U.S. in AZ, NV and CA)
- Drains 246,000 sq miles from 7 states
- Domestic needs 23 million people
- Agriculture over 2.5 million acres
- Hydroelectric powerplants at Hoover, Davis and Parker Dams annually generate 5-6 billion kilowatt-hours of hydroelectric power distributed in Arizona, Nevada and California



HOOVER DAM - 1935

mmm

Balancing Resource Use and Conservation

COLORADO RIVER NEAR BLYTHE, CALIFORNIA



Balancing Resource Use and Conservation

- 1967 The Yuma clapper rail (bird) and humpback chub (fish) were listed as endangered.
- 1980 The bonytail (fish) was listed as endangered.
- 1990 The desert tortoise (reptile) was listed as threatened.
- 1991 The razorback sucker (fish) was listed as endangered.
- 1994 Areas of the lower Colorado River were designated as critical habitat for the bonytail and razorback sucker (fish).
- 1995 The southwestern willow flycatcher (bird) was listed as endangered.
- 2004 Areas of the lower Colorado River were proposed as critical habitat for the southwestern willow flycatcher (bird).

Balancing Resource Use and Conservation

PURPOSE

Multi-stakeholder Federal and non-Federal partnership responding to the need to balance the use of lower Colorado River water resources and the conservation of native species and their habitats in compliance with the Endangered Species Act.







Balancing Resource Use and Conservation

50-Years of ESA and CESA Compliance

• Section 7 and Section 10 HCP

Covered Actions

- Delivery and Diversion of 9 million acre feet per year
- Movement of 1.574 million acre feet per year within the system
- Maintenance Activities



Balancing Resource Use and Conservation

COST SHARING

- Total Program Cost \$626 million (2003 dollars and adjusted annually for inflation)
- Federal / State Cost Share Split 50/50

2023 = \$34,828,626 2024 = \$38,845,008

• Cost cap



Balancing Resource Use and Conservation

COVERED SPECIES

- 8 threatened and endangered species
 - 3 birds, 3 fish, 2 reptiles



Yuma Ridgway's rail (Yuma clapper rail)



southwestern willow flycatcher



yellow-billed cuckoo

Balancing Resource Use and Conservation

COVERED SPECIES

• 8 threatened and endangered species

- 3 birds, 3 fish, 2 reptiles



bonytail



humpback chub



razorback sucker

Balancing Resource Use and Conservation

COVERED SPECIES

- 8 threatened and endangered species
 - 3 birds, 3 fish, 2 reptiles



desert tortoise



northern Mexican gartersnake

Balancing Resource Use and Conservation

COVERED SPECIES

- 19 other species
 - 4 mammals, 9 birds, 1 reptile, 1 amphibian, 1 fish, 1 insect, 2 plants
- 5 "evaluation species"*
 - 3 mammals, 2 amphibians

* Evaluation species are those which would qualify as covered species except sufficient information on their biology, habitat use, and occurrence within the project area are not sufficient at the time the HCP was completed

Balancing Resource Use and Conservation

CONSERVATION AREA DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT GOALS

- Cottonwood-willow 5,940 acres
- Mesquite 1,320 acres
- Marsh 568 acres
- Backwaters 484 acres

Balancing Resource Use and Conservation

FISH AUGMENTATION GOALS

- 660,000 razorback suckers
- 620,000 bonytail







Balancing Resource Use and Conservation

PROGRAM COMPONENTS

- Species Research
- System-wide Monitoring
- Conservation Area Monitoring

Balancing Resource Use and Conservation





Balancing Resource Use and Conservation

Final Implementation Report, Fiscal Year 2024 Work Plan and Budget, Fiscal Year 2022 Accomplishment Report



June 2023

F

Conservation Areas

• 18 conservation areas





Cottonwood-willow Goal: 5,940 acres Created: 4,482 acres



Honey Mesquite Goal: 1,320 acres Created: 2,046 acres



Marsh Goal: 512 acres Created: 362 acres



Backwaters Goal: 360 acres Created: 158 acres

Habitat Creation Accomplishments

- LCR MSCP has established sufficient acres of habitat to complete conservation measures for:
 - Mammals
 - Colorado River cotton rat (125 ac)
 - western red bat (765 ac)

Birds

- Arizona Bell's vireo (2,983 ac)
- Gila woodpecker (1,702 ac)

Insects

• MacNeill's sootywing (222 ac)

- Yuma hispid cotton rat (76 ac)
- western yellow bat (765 ac)
- elf owl (1,784 ac)
- summer tanager (602 ac)

Habitat Creation Accomplishments

• Percentage of habitat created for the remaining species:

Birds

- California black rail (82%)
- Yuma Ridgway's rail (69%)
- Sonoran yellow warbler (63%)
- Vermilion flycatcher (63%)

Reptiles

• Northern Mexican gartersnake (8%)

Fishes

- Bonytail (42%)
- Flannelmouth sucker (92%)

- Least bittern (71%)
- Gilded flicker (63%)
- Southwestern willow flycatcher (23%)
- Yellow-billed cuckoo (63%)

• Razorback sucker (42%)

POST-DEVELOPMENT MONITORING

Conservation Area	Count of LCR MSCP Species Detected At Least Once Between 2005-2023	
Beal Lake Conservation Area	20	
Big Bend Conservation Area	8	
Cibola National Wildlife Refuge Unit #1	12	
Cibola Valley Conservation Area	12	
Dennis Underwood Conservation Area	2	
Hart Mine Marsh	5	
Hunters Hole	8	
Imperial Ponds Conservation Area	5	
Laguna Division Conservation Area	8	
Mohave Valley Conservation Area	2	
Palo Verde Ecological Reserve	14	
Parker Dam Camp	2	
Planet Ranch	12	
Pretty Water Conservation Area	2	
Section 26	Under construction	
Three Fingers Lake	Not yet under construction	
Yuma East Wetlands	13	
Yuma Meadows Conservation Area	Under construction	

Conservation Area	Yuma Ridgway's rail	Yellow-billed cuckoo
Beal Lake Conservation Area	X	X
Big Bend Conservation Area		-
Cibola National Wildlife Refuge Unit #1	-	X
Cibola Valley Conservation Area	-	X
Dennis Underwood Conservation Area	-	
Hart Mine Marsh	X	-
Hunters Hole	-	X
Imperial Ponds Conservation Area	X	-
Laguna Division Conservation Area	X	X
Mohave Valley Conservation Area		-
Palo Verde Ecological Reserve	-	X
Parker Dam Camp	-	
Planet Ranch		X
Pretty Water Conservation Area	-	
Yuma East Wetlands	X	X

Cibola Valley Conservation Area

One innovation was use of a mass transplanter

Yellow-billed cuckoos (YBCU)

Parametrix, Inc., and Southern Sierra Research Station. 2019. Yellow-billed Cuckoo Surveys on the Lower Colorado River and Tributaries, 2014 to 2018 Summary Report. Submitted to the Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program, Bureau of Reclamation, Boulder City, Nevada, by S.E. McNeil, D. Tracy, J. Lisignoli, and J.R. Stanek under Reclamation contract No. R14PD0004.

Detections of YBCU on the BWR NWR 2006-2019

Ę

Yellow-billed Cuckoos

By 2015:

- 96% the 414 ha planted at Palo Verde Ecological Reserve was occupied
- Up to 80 breeding territories (at least 2 birds per territory) were estimated

Yellow-billed Cuckoos

- Cuckoos were detected in conservation areas within 2 years after planting, some within 1 year
- Management Concern: By year 5 after planting, detections begin to decline, with cuckoos moving into more recently planted areas.

PVER: Year X Detections (2008-2019)

PVER Surveys 2008-2019 YBCU Detections x Stand Age

Results: Detections by age + size + age*size

Predicted survey detections by site age for sites 20, 50, and 80 ha. 95% predictive intervals are shown by grey shading around each line.

"Change is the only constant in life."

- attributed to Heraclitus, a Greek philosopher

Adaptive Management

Learning (adapting) as you go:

- To address uncertainties
- Improve outcomes

A key concern is the recognition and measurement of success.

- Adaptive Management: The U.S. Department of the Interior Applications Guide. Adaptive Management Working Group, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, DC.

Adaptive Management

Key issues in deciding when to use adaptive management are:

- whether there is substantial uncertainty about the impacts on management,
- whether it is realistic to expect that we can reduce uncertainty, and
- whether reducing uncertainty can actually improve management.

- Adaptive Management: The U.S. Department of the Interior Applications Guide. Adaptive Management Working Group, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, DC.

Adaptive Management

But, not all resource management decisions can or should be adaptive.

- In some cases, there is no chance to apply learning.
- In other cases, there is little uncertainty about what action to choose, or there are irreconcilable disagreements about objectives, or no money.

Adaptive management can be useful in cases where natural resources are responsive to management, but there is also uncertainty about the impacts of management interventions.

- Adaptive Management: The U.S. Department of the Interior Applications Guide. Adaptive Management Working Group, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, DC.

Western Yellow-billed Cuckoo (Coccyzus americanus occidentalis) (YBCU) Basic Conceptual Ecological Model

Figure 4.—Basic CEM diagram for life stage 2 - nestling.

Integrating needs, decisions and information

Goals: What do we want/need the conserved resources to look like? (as well as what we don't want them to look like)

We manage to attain/maintain this state

We <u>monitor</u> to ask: (1) are we achieving a desired state? and (2) is our management effective?

Dennis Underwood Conservation Area

• 635 acres

 The habitat creation concept includes establishing approximately 506 acres of cottonwoodwillow and 122 acres of honey mesquite land cover types.

- 1. Mesquite
- 2. Low density Cottonwood-coyote willow
- 3. Willow clearing

- 4. High Density Cottonwood, coyote, Gooding's Willow
- 5. Swale
- 6. High Density Cottonwood-Gooding's Willow

Habitat Management Phase

- Transition from census to sampled monitoring
- Bring most work in-house to increase flexibility to respond to changing habitat conditions and adaptive management needs
- Look for efficiencies like remote sensing between inperson monitoring visits

Habitat Management Phase

 Utilizing remote sensing tools and other technological advancements to analyze the vegetation to add to knowledge about habitat characteristics and monitor structural characteristics and vegetative health (such as lidar, Planetscope, and NDVI).

Balancing Resource Use and Conservation

ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- "Build it and they will come" Most riparian and marsh species are responding to the created habitat
- Conservation Areas have been secured to meet the goals of the program
- Approximately 75% of the required habitat has been created in the first 15 years
- The flexibility in the program documents and the adaptive management approach have allowed us to meet challenges

Balancing Resource Use and Conservation

CHALLENGES

- Securing land and water in California to meet CESA requirements
- Developing long-term management guidelines for created habitats
- The "Unknown" (i.e., drought, climate change, invasive species)

Balancing Resource Use and Conservation

WHY DOES THE LCR MSCP WORK?

- The Program has a well-defined purpose, goals, and objectives
- The HCP has attainable conservation measures
- The flexibility in the program documents and the adaptive management approach allowed us to meet challenges
- The Steering Committee has been an active participant throughout implementation and is willing to compromise to move the program forward because a majority have a stake in its success

Balancing Resource Use and Conservation

www.lcrmscp.gov