HABITAT HYDRAULICS: SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS AND HABITAT SUITABILITY MODELING OF RIO GRANDE SILVERY MINNOW

Aubrey Harris, PE Ashlee Rudolph (USBR) Michael Porter, PhD December 3, 2020

> — BUREAU OF — RECLAMATION

"The views, opinions and findings contained in this report are those of the authors(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other official documentation." US Army Corps of Engineers IR GATE

File Name

OVERVIEW: (2) PROJECTS

In 2019, USACE-SPA entered interagency agreement with USACE-ERDC's Ecosystem Management Restoration Research Program.

 Conduct sensitivity analysis of hydrology and hydraulic parameters with field-measured Minnow population metrics.

In 2019, USACE-SPA entered interagency agreement with USBR.

- Map Habitat Suitability for the Rio Grande Silvery Minnow at (8) Habitat Restoration Sites in the San Acacia Reach.
- Develop repeatable methods to quantify "hydraulic habitat effectiveness".







OUTLINE

- Hydraulic habitat in theory;
- Findings from the Sensitivity Analysis;
- Findings from Restoration Site Mapping;
- Getting to praxis:
 - Implementing in project design and monitoring,
 - o Data needs,
 - Modeling limitations.







HYDRAULIC HABITAT SUITABILITY

THEORY: if we can correlate habitat criteria to environmental flows, to hydraulics, to spatial data, then we can use this information for design, implementation and monitoring.

- Focusing on the larval to juvenile life stage of the minnow.
- Some criteria for adults are available, but there is much more uncertainty.



This year, we discussed:

- Binary criteria (totally suitable or totally not) is not accurate.
- Other spatial criteria: substrate, landcover, etc. should be used in mapping suitable habitat.





FIRST PROJECT: SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS



Objective:

Does increasing the complexity of analysis of the spring runoff generate better correlations with fish population data?

Tests our Assumptions:

Can the life cycle of the Minnow be reflected in summarizing noisy spring hydrographs?

Does hydraulic suitability overgeneralize habitat characterization?

Is hydraulic information helpful?





CORRELATION RESULTS

- Recruit Slope is the rate of change in Minnow population (CPUE) from May to August.
- 2D Hydraulics performed very well; the higher resolution hydrology data performed best.

Recruit Slope



- Hydrology: 7-, 14-, 21-day minimums and percent exceedance.
- Seasonal summation: daily discharge as an eco-value, summed for the season





SECOND PROJECT: RESTORATION SITE HYDRAULICS

- The Reclamation Study is to quantify change from As-Built to Post-Runoff condition to justify site maintenance.
- Comparing surrounding terrace/floodplain versus constructed site.



RM 112 6.0 5.0 4.0 (acres) 9.0 Area 2.0 1.0 0.0 0 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 6000 7000 8000 Discharge (cfs) Terrace Total Area Terrace Larval Habitat – – Terrace Adult Habitat - HR Site Larval Habitat HR Site Total Area HR Adult Habitat Post Runoff Condition





7

SITE PERFORMANCE

- Different orientations: backwaters, lowered terraces, side channels;
 - Similar engineering objectives: inundate at lower discharges than the surrounding floodplain.
 - Similar points of failure at varying degrees: natural levee development at downstream outlets.
 - Size of contribution by dictated by excavated area.
- Different floodplain connectivity: lowered terraces, disconnected floodplains, perched areas.
 - Greatly affects areas of suitable hydraulics.







LINKING ANALYSIS TO HYDROLOGY



• RM 112 generated 60 acre-days of suitable larval habitat inundation in 2019. In an average year, it generates 18 acre-days.

RM 112 increased performance by ~40% between as-built and runoff condition.

- RM 100.5 generated 700 acre-days of habitat in 2019. In an average year, it generates 124 acre-days.
 - RM 100.5 increased performance by 5%.





MODELING LIMITATIONS

- 2D models are much more appropriate for mapping suitable hydraulics than 1D.**
- Calibration and validation (monitoring) data is PARAMOUNT.
 - Models may run without error and be inaccurate.
 - Reclamation's 1D Agg-Deg Models are NOT calibrated to WSE for full-range of possible discharges.
 - We are lacking data at overbanking flows: >3000 cfs.
 - Sediment analysis is needed.
 - Conveyance capacity of the Rio Grande CHANGES during high run-off events (Occam 2016).
 - Sedimentation/disconnection is point of failure for these restoration designs.
- Active channel domain, adult and egg life stages need more investigation.

**Modeler Application Guidance for Steady v. Unsteady, 1D vs 2D Hydraulic Modeling. https://www.hec.usace.army.mil/publications/TrainingDocuments/TD-41.pdf



Shear stresses at RM 112 indicate likelihood for sedimentation if As-Built design is repeated.





THEORY TO PRAXIS Evaluation Alternatives 5-10 yr frequency or Analysis (Hydraulics) triggered by poor Before every construction performance effort: evaluation: Hydrology; Expensive. 2D Hydraulics; Data availability. Sedimentation. Evaluation (Performance) Monitoring As often as possible: Design Topographic survey; ٠ Substrate and vegetation ٠ Best alternative carried mapping; forward Fish presence; •

•

٠

Velocity measurements.

٠





11

PRAXIS CONT'D: ECO-VALUE AND WATER MANAGEMENT

THEORY: if we can correlate habitat criteria to hydraulics..

- 2D hydraulics can be used to generate an ECO-VALUE curve.
- Water managers can use the eco-value curve for spring runoff environmental flow analysis.
- YOY and October Index population metrics did not perform as well under this analysis.
- This indicates more analysis is needed to link the Minnow life cycle with each year's seasonal hydrology.







ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- Jonathan AuBuchon
- Jen Bachus
- Becky Bixby
- Kirsty Bramlett
- Todd Caplan
- Linda Dreeland
- Danielle Galloway
- Eric Gonzales
- Lynette Giesen
- Chris Grosso
- Grace Haggerty
- John Hickey
- Tara Kunkel
- Kyle McKay
- Chad McKenna
- Madeline Richards

- Marian Schwarz
- Stephen Scissons
- Devin Seeliger
- Kyle Stark
- Mark Stone
- Betsy Summers
- Richard Valdez
- Charles Yackulic
- Bureau of Reclamation's River Analysis Group
- Bureau of Reclamation's Technical Services Center
- Middle Rio Grande Collaborative Program's Science and Habitat Restoration Work Group
- US Army Corps Engineering Research Development Center
- Save Our Bosque Task Force





KEY FOR SLIDE 6

	Duration budgeless (7 doubte 24 doub mean of minimum earmour of minimum) and Deprest Even deprests 2000 of
Hydrology	Duration hydrology (7-days to 21-days, mean of minimums of max of minimums) and Percent Exceedance to 3000 crs
1D Larger Reach	30 – mile 1D model + Duration hydrology + Binary method for habitat hydraulics
1D Shorter Reach	10 – mile 1D model + Duration hydrology + Binary method for habitat hydraulics
2D Shorter Reach	10 – mile 2D model + Duration hydrology
Seasonal Summation	Instead of duration hydrology: summation of the season for larval hydraulics and of the year for adult hydraulics.
Binary Method	Binary "ideal" or "not most ideal" for ideal habitat hydraulics
Continuous (Cont.)	Weighted Useable Area using a curve for ideal hydraulics.
FP	Habitat hydraulics applied only to inundated areason the floodplain
Total	Habitat hydraulics applied to total river cross section



