#### INITIAL ISLAND AND BAR GEOMORPHIC SURVEYS FOR THE RIVERINE HABITAT RESTORATION PROJECT IN THE ALBUQUERQUE REACH, MIDDLE RIO GRANDE, NEW MEXICO

Submitted to

#### NEW MEXICO INTERSTATE STREAM COMMISSION

121 Tijeras, Suite 2000 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 505-764-3880

Prepared by

Joseph Fluder, M.S. Burt McAlpine

#### SWCA® ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS

7001 Prospect Place NE, Suite 100 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87110 Telephone: 505-254-1115; Facsimile 505-254-1116 www.swca.com

and

Mike Harvey, Ph.D., P.G.

#### **MUSSETTER ENGINEERING, INC.**

1730 S. College Ave, Suite 100 Fort Collins, Colorado 80525 Telephone: 970-224-4612; Facsimile 970-472-6062

SWCA Project No. 10018-196

March 23, 2006

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

List c	of Figures	ii
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	SURVEY DATA AND CLASSIFICATION	1
2.1	Mapping and Cross-Section Surveys	2
2.2	Hydraulic Analysis	2
2.3	Bar Classification	3
2.4	Comparative Surveys	4
3.0	REFERENCES	6

#### LIST OF FIGURES

1.	Map showing the locations of the bank-attached bar downstream of Rio Bravo Bridge and the mid-channel bars/islands in the South Diversion Channel and North Diversion
	Channel subreaches
2.	Stage and discharge data for the period between March 28 and April 1, 2005, at the
	Central Avenue USGS gaging station on the Rio Grande
3.	Stage and discharge data for the period July 18 through 23, 2005, at the Central
	Avenue USGS gaging station on the Rio Grande
4.	Stage and discharge data for the period September 12 through 17, 2005, at the Central
	Avenue USGS gaging station on the Rio Grande
5.	Aerial photograph showing the locations of the surveyed cross sections in the South
	Diversion Channel subreach
6.	Cross-section profile for XS567.5 that crosses the downstream-most of the restoration
	mid-channel bars/islands in the South Diversion Channel subreach. The flow in the
	river was about 860 cfs
7.	Cross-section profile for XS567 that crosses the upstream-most of the restoration
	mid-channel bars/islands in the South Diversion Channel subreach. The flow in the
	river was about 860 cfs
8.	Cross-section profile for XS566 that crosses the control mid-channel bars/islands in
	the South Diversion Channel subreach. The flow in the river was about 860 cfs14
9.	Cross-section profile for XS564 that crosses the lower portion of the bank-attached
	bar in the South Diversion Channel subreach. The flow in the river was about 860
	cfs15
10.	Cross-section profile for XS563 that crosses the upper portion of the bank-attached
	bar in the South Diversion Channel subreach. The flow in the river was about 860
	cfs16
11.	Aerial photograph showing the locations of the surveyed cross sections in the North
	Diversion Channel subreach
12.	Cross-section profile for XS414.5 that crosses the downstream-most of the restoration
	mid-channel bars/islands in the North Diversion Channel subreach. The flow in the
	river was about 730 cfs
13.	Cross-section profile for XS405.5 that crosses the downstream end of the
	downstream-most of the restoration mid-channel bars/islands in the North Diversion
	Channel subreach. The flow in the river was about 730 cfs
15.	Cross-section profile for XS404.5 that crosses the control mid-channel bar/island in
	the North Diversion Channel subreach. The flow in the river was about 730 cfs21
16.	Cross Section 563 showing water-surface elevations for flows between 1,500 and
	12,000 cfs at the upstream end of the bank-attached bar
17.	Cross Section 564 showing water-surface elevations for flows between 1,500 and
	12,000 cfs at the downstream end of the bank-attached bar
18.	Cross Section 566 showing water-surface elevations for flows between 1,500 and
	12,000 cfs at the control mid-channel bar/island24
19.	Cross Section 567 showing water-surface elevations for flows between 1,500 and
	12,000 cfs at the upstream-most of the restoration mid-channel bars/islands25

20.	Cross Section 567.5 showing water-surface elevations for flows between 1,500 and
	12,000 cfs at the downstream-most of the restoration mid-channel bars/islands26
21.	View downstream of the head of the downstream-most mid-channel bar/island in the
	South Diversion Channel subreach. The low vegetation is on the LI surface, and the
	Russian olive trees are on the LII surface
22.	View upstream of the downstream end of the upstream-most of the mid-channel
	bars/islands in the South Diversion Channel subreach. The lower vegetation is on the
	LI surface, and the Russian olives are on the LII surface.
23	View downstream of the LII mid-channel bar that is the control for the South
_0.	Diversion Channel subreach 28
24	View upstream of the left bank of the bank-attached bar with the Rio Bravo Bridge in
	the background The top of the bank represents an LII bank-attached bar 28
25.	View upstream of the LII mid-channel bar that is the control for the North Diversion
_0.	Channel subreach. An LI surface is located along the right side of the higher-
	elevation LII bar
26.	View downstream of the upstream-most of the mid-channel bars/islands in the North
	Diversion Channel subreach. The right side of the picture shows the LI surface, and
	the left side shows the LII surface
27.	View upstream of the downstream-most mid-channel bar/island in the North
	Diversion Channel subreach. The entire bar is classified as an LI mid-channel bar30
28.	Color-gradient map of elevation changes between the March and September 2005
	surveys at Control island/bar, SDC subreach
29.	Color-gradient map of elevation changes between the March and September 2005
	surveys at Restoration island/bar No. 2, SDC subreach
30.	Color-gradient map of elevation changes between the March and September 2005
	surveys at Restoration island/bar No. 3, SDC subreach
31.	Color-gradient map of elevation changes between the March and September 2005
	surveys at Control island/bar and Restoration island/bar No. 2, NDC subreach

# 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report provides the New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission (NMISC) with a summary of Mussetter Engineering, Inc.'s (MEI) work on the Middle Rio Grande Riverine Habitat Restoration project that is being conducted on the Middle Rio Grande in the Albuquerque Reach by SWCA for the NMISC. In summary, MEI has completed all of the survey tasks, which included: (1) topographic surveys of five bank-attached bars and four mid-channel bars/islands in the South Diversion Channel (SDC) subreach; (2) topographic surveys of three bank-attached bars and four mid-channel bars/islands in the Central Avenue outfall subreach; and (3) topographic surveys of five mid-channel bars/islands in the North Diversion Channel (NDC) outfall subreach. Six mid-channel bars/islands and one bank-attached bar that were originally surveyed in the SDC and NDC subreaches in March 2005 were resurveyed in September 2005, and we have prepared maps identifying the changes that occurred as a result of the relatively high, long-duration flows that were experienced this year. Reduction of all of the survey data and preparation of 0.5-foot contour interval maps of the surveyed bank-attached and midchannel bars/islands have been completed. An HEC-RAS hydraulic model of the Rio Grande that has been calibrated by MEI to the 2005 peak flow of 6,300 cfs has been used to identify water-surface elevations for a range of flows between 500 and 6,300 cfs at each of the surveyed sites. Classification of the bars/islands based on the bar classification scheme developed by MEI for the Endangered Species Act (ESA) Collaborative Program has been completed.

# 2.0 SURVEY DATA AND CLASSIFICATION

Surveys of the bank-attached bar and the mid-channel bars/islands (Figure 1) were conducted with a Leica Series 1200, RTK-GPS unit in three periods: between March 28 and April 1, 2005, when the flow in the Rio Grande was between 720 and 970 cfs at the Central Avenue USGS gaging station (Figure 2); between July 18 and 23, 2005, when the flow in the Rio Grande at the Central Avenue gage was between 412 and 660 cfs (Figure 3); and between September 12 and 17, 2005, when the flow in the Rio Grande at the Central Avenue gage was between 319 and 271 cfs (Figure 4). The surveys were conducted in the NAVD88 and New Mexico State Plane Central NAD83 coordinate systems.

The Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) CO 37 LEP monument provided control for the SDC subreach, checked against the BOR AQ 567 LEP and NMDOT 2500-5 monuments. Control for the NDC subreach was provided by the BOR CO 34 LEP (pipe) monument, checked against the BOR CR 413 EIP monument. The City of Albuquerque 15-J-12 monument provided control for the Interstate-40/Central Avenue subreach, checked against the City of Albuquerque 14-J-12 monument. Temporary benchmarks consisting of 0.5-inch rebar with blue plastic caps were set on the bars/islands to facilitate future resurveys.

# 2.1 MAPPING AND CROSS-SECTION SURVEYS

Topographic data from the mid-channel bars/islands and bank-attached bars were reduced to provide 0.5-foot contour interval maps, using the Microstation software. Contour maps for the four mid-channel bars/islands and five bank-attached bars in the SDC subreach are provided in Appendix A. Contour maps for the four mid-channel bars/islands and three bank-attached bars in the Interstate-40/Central Avenue subreach are provided in Appendix B. Contour maps for the five mid-channel bars/islands in the NDC subreach are provided in Appendix C. Contour maps for the resurveyed mid-channel bars/islands in the SDC subreach are provided in Appendix C. Contour maps for the resurveyed mid-channel bars/islands in the SDC and NDC subreaches are also included in Appendixes A and C, respectively.

The locations of cross-section profiles that were surveyed in the SDC subreach in March 2005 are shown on Figure 5. Cross-section numbers are related to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) HEC-RAS model and the BOR range line numbering system, and the cross sections are plotted looking downstream. Figure 6 shows the cross-section profile (XS567.5) through the downstream-most mid-channel bar/island. Figure 7 shows the cross-section profile (XS567) through the upstream-most mid-channel bar/island, and Figure 8 shows the cross-section profile (XS566) through the control mid-channel bar/island. Figure 9 shows the cross-section profile (XS564) through the lower portion of the bank-attached bar, and Figure 10 shows the cross-section profile (XS563) through the upper portion of the bank-attached bar.

The locations of the surveyed cross-section profiles for the NDC subreach are shown on Figure 11. Cross-section numbers are related to the USACE HEC-RAS model and the BOR range line numbering system, and the cross sections are plotted looking downstream. Figure 12 shows the cross-section profile (XS414.5) through the downstream-most mid-channel bar/island. Figure 13 shows the cross-section profile (XS405.5) through the downstream end of the upstream-most mid-channel bar/island. Figure 14 shows the cross-section profile (XS405) that crosses both the upstream end of the upstream-most mid-channel bar/island and the downstream end of the control mid-channel bar/island. Figure 15 shows the cross-section profile (XS404.5) through the control mid-channel bar/island.

# 2.2 HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS

An existing HEC-RAS hydraulic model of the Rio Grande developed by the Albuquerque District of the USACE was modified with the addition of surveyed cross sections of the river derived from a number of sources by MEI for the USACE, and calibrated to the high-water marks for the peak flow of 6,300 cfs developed by Tetra Tech Inc. (2005) for the USACE. NMISC requested hydraulic analysis only for the original three mid-channel bars/islands and one bank-attached bar in the SDC subreach.

Figures 16 and 17 show that the bank-attached bar (XS563 and XS564) should be overtopped at a flow of between 10,000 and 12,000 cfs, which is similar to the 5-year

peak discharge (10,800 cfs) prior to construction of Cochiti Dam (MEI 2002). This discharge is somewhat higher than would be expected for the 2-year peak discharge (about 7,000 cfs) that probably correlated with the floodplain elevation of the Rio Grande prior to the construction of Cochiti Dam. Stage-discharge rating curves for the Central Avenue USGS gage indicate that the channel degraded about 2 feet between 1973 and 1987 (MEI 2002), and this probably explains the greater present channel capacity at the bank-attached bar.

Figure 18 shows that the highest portions of the control mid-channel bar/island (XS566) will be inundated at a discharge of about 10,000 cfs, whereas other parts of the bar will be inundated at discharges on the order of 5,000 to 6,000 cfs, which is what occurred during the May to June 2005 period of high flows. Figure 19 (XS567) shows that at the upstream-most of the restoration mid-channel bars/islands, the bar will be inundated at a discharge of between 5,000 and 6,500 cfs, which is what occurred in the May to June 2005 period of high flows. The downstream-most of the restoration mid-channel bars/islands (XS567.5) will be inundated at a discharge of between 4,500 and 5,500 cfs (Figure 20), which is what occurred in the May to June 2005 period of high flows. The 2-year peak discharge in the post–Cochiti Dam period is on the order of 5,400 cfs (MEI 2002), and this flow appears to correlate with the mid-channel bar/island surfaces fairly well, which suggests that the mid-channel bars/islands are now functionally equivalent to the present floodplain of the Rio Grande, and the former floodplain surfaces are now terraces that are inundated infrequently (20- to 50-year post–Cochiti Dam peak discharges) (MEI 2002).

Hydraulic analysis for the remainder of the mid-channel bars and islands was also conducted with the HEC-RAS model, since site-specific, stage-discharge and stageduration and frequency information is required for the restoration planning process. Cross sections showing the water-surface elevations for flows between 500 and 6,300 cfs for each of the mid-channel bars/islands and bank-attached bars in the SDC, Interstate-40/Central Avenue, and NDC subreaches are provided in Appendix D.

### 2.3 BAR CLASSIFICATION

As part of the bar dynamics research project funded by the NMISC-ESA Collaborative Program, MEI has developed a bar classification for the Middle Rio Grande and has related the elevations of the various bar types to flow frequencies and flow durations (Harvey et al. 2004). Within the SDC subreach, all of the mid-channel bars/islands are classified as Level II mid-channel bars, but each of the bars has an LI mid-channel bar accreted to portions of the main bar (Figures 21 through 23). The bank-attached bar is classified as an LII bank-attached bar (Figure 24). Based on the flood-frequency and flow-duration analyses that were determined for the MEI Central Avenue site, the LII mid-channel bars are inundated on average by the 2-year peak flow, for about 4 percent of the time (about 15 days per year). The LII bank-attached bars are inundated on average by the 5-year peak flow, which has zero duration of inundation in the post–Cochiti Dam period.

Within the NDC subreach, the control mid-channel bar/island is classified as an LII midchannel bar (Figure 25). About one-third of the upstream-most mid-channel bar/island is classified as an LII mid-channel bar, but the remainder of the bar is classified as an LI mid-channel bar that has accreted to the LII bar (Figure 26). Based on the Central Avenue site data, LI mid-channel bars are inundated on average by the 1.5-year peak flow, for about 5 percent of the time (about 18 days per year). The downstream-most of the mid-channel bars/islands is classified as an LI mid-channel bar (Figure 27).

Although the mid-channel bars/islands and bank-attached bars that were surveyed for the first time in July and September 2005 were not classified in the field, it is reasonable to conclude from the topographic maps (Appendixes A, B, and C) that the mid-channel bars/islands have LII cores with laterally accreted lower elevation LI surfaces. Bank-attached bars are classified generally as LII bank-attached bars. Inundation flow magnitudes and durations will be similar to those described above for the SDC and NDC initial survey (March 2005) bars/islands.

### 2.4 COMPARATIVE SURVEYS

In September 2005, following the spring runoff when flows in the river exceeded 5,000 cfs from May 12 to June 15 and the peak flow in the Albuquerque Reach was 6,300 cfs, three mid-channel bars/islands in the NDC subreach and three mid-channel bars/islands and one bank-attached bar in the SDC subreach that were originally surveyed in March 2005 were resurveyed (Tetra Tech 2005). Contour maps of the bars for the two surveys are provided in Appendix A (SDC) and Appendix C (NDC).

Figure 28 is a color-gradient map of the elevation changes at the control island in the SDC subreach. The bulk of the island was not inundated during the high flows between May 12 and June 15, 2005, and therefore there were few changes. Some bed scour took place at the head of the heavily vegetated and root-reinforced head of the bar/island (LII surface), but the remainder of the LI surface that was inundated experienced about 0.5 feet of vertical accretion. At the downstream end of the bar/island, the vertical accretion was closer to 1.5 feet.

Figure 29 is a color-gradient map of the elevation changes at the upstream-most restoration bar/island (No. 2) in the SDC subreach. Most of the island, with the exception of the LII core, was inundated during the high flows between May 12 and June 15, 2005, and therefore there was a significant amount of vertical accretion, from about 0.5 to 2 feet, on the LI surfaces. Degradation along the west (right) side of the bar/island was due to a channel change. Prior to the high flows, the main thread of the river was located to the east, but during the high flows, the main channel switched to the west side.

The generally lower elevation downstream-most restoration bar/island (No. 3) in the SDC subreach was subjected to considerable vertical accretion on the LI surface between May 12 and June 15, 2005 (Figure 30), from about 0.5 to 2.5 feet. Degradation on the east

side was due to channel shift that resulted in a defined channel between bars/islands 2 and 3 following the high flows.

Figure 31 is a color-gradient map of the elevation changes at the Control (upstream) and Restoration No. 2 bars/islands in the NDC subreach. The bulk of the Control bar/island is an LII surface and was not inundated during the high flows between May 12 and June 15, 2005. The LI surface along the west (right) side of the bar/island shows vertical accretion of up to 1.5 feet. An active channel previously occupied the former channel between the Control and Restoration No. 2 bars/islands. During the high flows, this channel aggraded by up to 2 feet and was abandoned. Vertical accretion on the LI surface that constitutes the majority of the Restoration bar/island (No. 2) ranged from about 0.5 to 2 feet, but there was little if any deposition on the LII surface that forms the core of the bar.

Restoration bar/island No. 3 in the NDC subreach consists completely of an LI surface, and as such it experienced significant vertical accretion during the high flows (Figure 32). Vertical accretion on the west (right) side of the bar/island was high because of channel switching during the high-flow event. Prior to the high flows, the main channel was located on the west side of the bar/island, but it switched to the east side during the event, causing some degradation of the bed. On most of the bar/island, vertical accretion ranged from 0.5 to 1.5 feet.

Based on the comparative surveys, it can be concluded that in general the higherelevation LII surfaces experienced very little if any vertical accretion during the highflow period because they either were not inundated or were inundated to very shallow depths. In contrast, the perennially vegetated LI surfaces were inundated and experienced on average up to 1.5 feet of vertical accretion, increasing the magnitude of the flows that will be required to inundate them in the future. Root reinforcement over time of the predominantly sandy sediments that comprise the bars/islands has made them much more erosion-resistant, and the comparative surveys indicate that there was little lateral erosion of the bars/islands during the long-duration, high-magnitude flow event.

# 3.0 REFERENCES

- Harvey, M.D., S.C. Trabant, J. R. Lunger, and D. K. Llewellyn. 2004. Bar Dynamics in the Bosque del Apache National Wildlife Refuge. Poster presented at the 2004 Festival of the Cranes, Bosque del Apache National Wildlife Refuge, San Antonio, New Mexico.
- Mussetter Engineering, Inc. (MEI). 2002. Geomorphic and Sedimentologic Investigations of the Middle Rio Grande between Cochiti Dam and Elephant Butte Reservoir. Prepared for New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission, June.
- Tetra Tech, Inc. 2005. Middle Rio Grande Hydrographic Data Collection Report, Overbank Monitoring of the 2005 High Flow Spring Release from Cochiti Dam. Prepared for ESA Collaborative Program through USACE Albuquerque District, July.



**Figure 1.** Map showing the locations of the bank-attached bar downstream of Rio Bravo Bridge and the mid-channel bars/islands in the South Diversion Channel and North Diversion Channel subreaches.



**Figure 2.** Stage and discharge data for the period between March 28 and April 1, 2005, at the Central Avenue USGS gaging station on the Rio Grande.



**Figure 3.** Stage and discharge data for the period July 18 through 23, 2005, at the Central Avenue USGS gaging station on the Rio Grande.



**Figure 4.** Stage and discharge data for the period September 12 through 17, 2005, at the Central Avenue USGS gaging station on the Rio Grande.







**Figure 6.** Cross-section profile for XS567.5 that crosses the downstream-most of the restoration mid-channel bars/islands in the South Diversion Channel subreach. The flow in the river was about 860 cfs.



**Figure 7.** Cross-section profile for XS567 that crosses the upstream-most of the restoration mid-channel bars/islands in the South Diversion Channel subreach. The flow in the river was about 860 cfs.



**Figure 8.** Cross-section profile for XS566 that crosses the control mid-channel bars/islands in the South Diversion Channel subreach. The flow in the river was about 860 cfs.



**Figure 9.** Cross-section profile for XS564 that crosses the lower portion of the bank-attached bar in the South Diversion Channel subreach. The flow in the river was about 860 cfs.



**Figure 10.** Cross-section profile for XS563 that crosses the upper portion of the bank-attached bar in the South Diversion Channel subreach. The flow in the river was about 860 cfs.



Figure 11. Aerial photograph showing the locations of the surveyed cross sections in the North Diversion Channel subreach.



Figure 12. Cross-section profile for XS414.5 that crosses the downstream-most of the restoration mid-channel bars/islands in the North Diversion Channel subreach. The flow in the river was about 730 cfs.



**Figure 13.** Cross-section profile for XS405.5 that crosses the downstream end of the downstream-most of the restoration mid-channel bars/islands in the North Diversion Channel subreach. The flow in the river was about 730 cfs.



**Figure 14.** Cross-section profile for XS405 that crosses the upstream end of the upstream-most of the restoration mid-channel bars/islands and the downstream end of the control mid-channel bar/island in the North Diversion Channel subreach. The flow in the river was about 730 cfs.



**Figure 15.** Cross-section profile for XS404.5 that crosses the control mid-channel bar/island in the North Diversion Channel subreach. The flow in the river was about 730 cfs.



**Figure 16.** Cross Section 563 showing water-surface elevations for flows between 1,500 and 12,000 cfs at the upstream end of the bank-attached bar.



**Figure 17.** Cross Section 564 showing water-surface elevations for flows between 1,500 and 12,000 cfs at the downstream end of the bank-attached bar.



**Figure 18.** Cross Section 566 showing water-surface elevations for flows between 1,500 and 12,000 cfs at the control mid-channel bar/island.



**Figure 19.** Cross Section 567 showing water-surface elevations for flows between 1,500 and 12,000 cfs at the upstream-most of the restoration mid-channel bars/islands.



**Figure 20.** Cross Section 567.5 showing water-surface elevations for flows between 1,500 and 12,000 cfs at the downstream-most of the restoration mid-channel bars/islands.



**Figure 21.** View downstream of the head of the downstream-most mid-channel bar/island in the South Diversion Channel subreach. The low vegetation is on the LI surface, and the Russian olive trees are on the LII surface.



**Figure 22.** View upstream of the downstream end of the upstream-most of the mid-channel bars/islands in the South Diversion Channel subreach. The lower vegetation is on the LI surface, and the Russian olives are on the LII surface.



**Figure 23.** View downstream of the LII mid-channel bar that is the control for the South Diversion Channel subreach.



**Figure 24.** View upstream of the left bank of the bank-attached bar with the Rio Bravo Bridge in the background. The top of the bank represents an LII bank-attached bar.



**Figure 25.** View upstream of the LII mid-channel bar that is the control for the North Diversion Channel subreach. An LI surface is located along the right side of the higher-elevation LII bar.



**Figure 26.** View downstream of the upstream-most of the mid-channel bars/islands in the North Diversion Channel subreach. The right side of the picture shows the LI surface, and the left side shows the LII surface.



**Figure 27.** View upstream of the downstream-most mid-channel bar/island in the North Diversion Channel subreach. The entire bar is classified as an LI mid-channel bar.







**Figure 29.** Color-gradient map of elevation changes between the March and September 2005 surveys at Restoration island/bar No. 2, SDC subreach.


**Figure 30.** Color-gradient map of elevation changes between the March and September 2005 surveys at Restoration island/bar No. 3, SDC subreach.







**Figure 32.** Color-gradient map of elevation changes between the March and September 2005 surveys at Restoration island/bar No. 3, NDC subreach.

## APPENDIX A

# SOUTH DIVERSION CHANNEL SUBREACH CONTOUR MAPS

























### APPENDIX B

#### INTERSTATE-40/CENTRAL AVENUE SUBREACH CONTOUR MAPS















# APPENDIX C

NORTH DIVERSION CHANNEL SUBREACH CONTOUR MAPS















#### APPENDIX D

CROSS-SECTION PLOTS FOR BARS AND ISLANDS IN THE SOUTH DIVERSION CHANNEL, INTERSTATE-40/CENTRAL AVENUE, AND NORTH DIVERSION CHANNEL SUBREACHES

South Diversion Channel Bar 1



South Diversion Channel Bar 2



#### South Diversion Channel Bar 3


South Diversion Channel Bar 4



South Diversion Channel Bar 5



South Diversion Channel Control Island 1





#### South Diversion Channel Restoration Island 2



#### **South Diversion Channel Restoration Island 3**

**South Diversion Channel Island 4** 





Central Bar 2













North Diversion Channel Bar 1



North Diversion Channel Control Island 1



North Diversion Channel Restoration Island 2





#### North Diversion Channel Restoration Island 3

North Diversion Channel Island 4



North Diversion Channel Island 5

